To: rlaw@demaximis.com[]

Cc: Basso.Ray@epamail.epa.gov;Jay.Nickerson@dep.state.nj.us[];

ay.Nickerson@dep.state.nj.us[]

Bcc: CN=Patricia Hick/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Sarah

Flanagan/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Sarah

Flanagan/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]

From: CN=Stephanie Vaughn/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US

Sent: Mon 11/12/2012 9:19:33 PM

Subject: RM 10.9 BODR, Additional comments....

ATTEXNHZ.pdf

Hi Rob,

Attached are additional comments EPA has received from NJDEP. As you will see, we have divided the comments into 3 sections -- those that we want you to incorporate into the pre-final design, those we think will be covered in the pre-final design, but which we are providing for your information, and those relating to permitting for the processing facility and New Jersey substantive requirements for the dredging, which should help inform your upcoming discussions with NJDEP.

Please note that while EPA does not object to the CPG obtaining permit equivalencies, they are not, as you know, required for a Superfund project. Also note that while EPA hopes that the CPG will work closely with NJDEP to address their concerns, transmittal of these comments does not necessarily mean that EPA either endorses or agrees with all of them; as has been noted, they are being provided for your information, to help inform the design process moving forward.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks, Stephanie